The Recap System for Identifying Information Flow

Donald Metzler, Yaniv Bernstein, W. Bruce Croft, Alistair Moffat, and Justin Zobel
U. Massachusetts, RMIT U., U. Massachusetts, U. Melbourne, RMIT U.

Categories. H.3.3 Information Search and Retrieval.

Keywords. Text reuse, information flow, statistical translation.

Overview. Many kinds of documents involve reuse of previously published material. For example, typical newspaper articles combine new information with recapitulation of pertinent background.

Given a text snippet, passage, or even entire document, it is useful to be able to determine the source of the information in the passage. More specifically, the task is to seek, on a sentence-by-sentence basis, other instances of the same information. Since the emphasis is on factual information, this task is related to some aspects of question answering, but where the “queries” are the original sentences and the “answers” are transformations of those sentences. Our trust in a piece of factual information is influenced by the diversity of sources where we discover the fact.

If, however, all references to some information are derived from the same source, then our trust in the information is diminished. Source identification can also be seen as a form of plagiarism detection. However, it is repetition of elements such as sentences (not whole documents) that is of interest in this task. In information collections such as newswires, concise statements of fact are often repeated verbatim. For example, consider the description of the eruption of Mt St. Helens as “the May 18, 1980 eruption that leveled 230 square miles, left 57 people dead or missing and sent up an ash cloud that circled the globe”. While the individual elements of this statement – the date, the area affected, the number of deaths, the fact of the ash cloud – could come from a wide range of sources, when the whole is repeated it is likely that one is the source of the other, or that some third article has been used as a source by both.
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The Recap system. We have developed sentence- and document-level similarity measures for the task of finding similar information, with the intention of embedding several novel properties. In particular, we seek to:

• Favor documents with matching sentences; documents without a sentence match are low-ranked.
• Favor sentences that use the same form of words as the information provided; other sentences that are similar (in the usual IR sense) are also found, but not ranked as highly.
• Favor information-rich sentences.
• Favor documents in which there is a match for several of the sentences in the provided information.

A Recap user enters a block of text and is shown summaries of matches, strength-of-match indicators, and a timeline showing when the matches occurred, as shown above. The latter is possible because most newswire data is annotated by date of publication. When a match is selected, matching sentences are highlighted, allowing rapid browsing of potential sources. The timeline is of particular value for the intended task; for example, it often reveals that information has been re-used by the newswire over several years.

Our prototype Recap system provides a persuasive demonstration that source-finding is useful. The search model is, for this task, considerably more informative than the list-of-answers that is standard for search engines, and as our demonstration shows, is simple and intuitive. With a range of potential specialist applications, our software introduces a valid new form of search.
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